



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,746	08/20/2003	Craig C. Mello	UMY-052DV1	9625
959	7590	07/16/2007	EXAMINER	
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109-2127			MONSHIPOURI, MARYAM	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1656		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		07/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/645,746	MELLO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maryam Monshipouri	1656

All Participants:

(1) Maryam Monshipouri.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Ms. Debra Milansincic.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 July 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner inquired about the ordering of inventors due to descrepancy found in ADS paper filed 8/20/03 and BIB data. In response Ms. Milasincic indicated the proper ordering of the inventors to be as following: Mello, Fire, Tabara, Grishok, as already shown in current BIB data..

M. Monshi
MARYAM MONSHIPOURI, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER